Texas Commission on Jail Standards



2014 Annual Report

January 31, 2015

Empowering local government to provide safe, secure and suitable local jail facilities through proper rules and procedures while promoting innovative programs and ideas.

Brandon Wood Executive Director

I.	Μ	lission Statement4
II.	0	rganization5
III.		Agency Objectives & Funding
Α		Inspection & Enforcement
В		Construction Plan Review7
C		Management Consultation7
D		Auditing Population & Costs
E	•	Indirect Administration7
F.		Juvenile Justice Survey
IV.		2014 Operational Performance in Review
A	•	Changes to Standards
В		Jail Inspections
	1.	Compliant Counties
	2.	Noncompliant Counties
	3.	Closed Jails
C		Construction Plan Review9
	1.	Construction Completed9
	2.	Major Renovations/Additions Completed9
	3.	Jails under Construction or Planning9
D	•	Management Consultation
E.		Auditing (Changes in Jail Bed Capacity and Inmate Population)10
		1. Population
		2. Immigration12
		3. Licensed Jailer Turnover12
F.		Additional Services11
	1.	Research12
	2.	Inmate Complaints12
	3.	Variances14
V.	20	014 Administrative Activity in Review14
A	•	Staff Changes14

В.	Staff T	urnover	 14

C.	Training Initiatives	14
D.	Legislative Actions	15
E.	Attorney General Action	15
VI.	Summary & Forecast	15
	eport is made pursuant to Chapter 511, Section 511.015 Government Code and covers activities lar year 2014.	; of

I. Mission Statement

The mission of the Texas Commission on Jail Standards is to empower local government to provide safe, secure, and suitable local jail facilities through proper rules and procedures while promoting innovative programs and ideas.

During its regular session of 1975, the 64th Legislature enacted House Bill 272 creating the Texas Commission on Jail Standards in an effort to end federal court intervention into county jail matters and return jail control to state and local jurisdictions. Formerly through Title 81 of the Civil Statutes and currently through Chapters 499 and 511 of the Government Code, the state has evinced a strong commitment to improving conditions in the jails by granting the Commission the authority and responsibility to promulgate and enforce minimum standards for jail construction, equipment, maintenance, and operation. Related duties and rules are set forth in Chapters 351 and 361 of the Local Government Code, Title 37 of the Administrative Code, and Minimum Jail Standards.

The Commission serves the citizens of Texas with programs and services for the custody, care, treatment, and supervision of adult inmates in county jails. Although we retain the responsibility to regulate privately operated municipal facilities, most of our activities are oriented toward county functions. Our principle operations include on-site inspections of jails to verify compliance with Standards, review of proposed construction and renovation plans to assess conformity to Standards, provision of jail management technical assistance and training, administration of inmate population reports and audits, resolution of prisoner grievances, and various other activities relating to policy development and enforcement. Contrary to popular belief, the Commission does not have authority over the state prison system or juvenile detention facilities. We do have facilities under our authority which contract with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice and federal systems to house state and federal inmates. We also regulate any Texas facility housing out-of-state inmates.

We work closely with city, county, and state government officials in our duties to enforce jail standards. Primary relationships exist with county judges, commissioners, sheriffs and private management companies to provide consultation and technical assistance; review and comment on plans for construction, modification, and renovation of jails; and regular inspections of jails to ensure compliance with state law. Secondary relationships extend to architectural and criminal justice professional associations and to regulatory agencies concerned with fire safety, legal issues, civil liberties, health and mental health. Jail inmates awaiting criminal trial or administrative hearings, serving misdemeanor sentences, or awaiting transfer to the Texas Department of Criminal Justice after felony convictions benefit from our efforts by being housed in safe and sanitary environments. We provide a service to the citizens of Texas communities through our Standards, which mandate secure jail design and operation, effective inmate management, use of accepted correctional methods, and programming based on available resources.

II. Organization

Our policy-making body consists of nine Commission members appointed by the governor to staggered six-year terms expiring on January 31 of odd-numbered years. The Commission consists of a sheriff from a county with a population of more than 35,000, a sheriff from a county with a population of 35,000 or less, a county judge, a county commissioner, a practitioner of medicine, and four private citizens, at least one of whom is from a county with a population of 35,000 or less. The chairperson is designated by the governor, with the vice-chairperson elected by the membership. Our Commission holds regular meetings each calendar quarter as required. Special meetings are held as needed. Responsibilities of the Commissioners include promulgation, adoption, revision, amendment, and repeal of rules; enforcement of rules through remedial action or action in district court; and consideration of applications for variances to minimum standards. Members are not compensated for their work except for allowable travel and per diem expenses.

Commission members as of December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Member	<u>City</u>	Term Expires
Judge Donna Klaeger (Chair)	Burnet	2019
Commissioner Stanley D. Egger	Abilene	2017
Ms. Irene A. Armendariz	El Paso	2015
Michael M. Seale, M.D.	Houston	2017
Mr. Jerry Lowry	New Caney	2019
Mr. Larry May	Sweetwater	2019
Mr. Allan Cain	Carthage	2017
Sheriff Dennis D. Wilson	Groesbeck	2019
Sheriff Gary Painter	Midland	2015

At the end of 2014, the staff consisted of the following positions:

Executive Director	Exempt
Manager I	B22
Staff Services Officer III	B19
Accountant II	B15
Inspector V (4 positions)	B17
Planner II	B19
Project Manager I	B20
Program Specialist II	B18
Program Specialist I (4 positions)	B17
Network Specialist II	B18

The Commission on Jail Standards is headquartered in Austin, Texas.

III. Agency Objectives & Funding

In order to fulfill our mission, the Commission allocates its resources and carries out its statutorily-mandated duties through six distinct but related strategies. Although inspection of jail facilities and enforcement of Minimum Jail Standards is our most critical and visible strategy, the Commission's remaining five strategies are vital as well and assists counties in achieving and maintaining constitutional standards— the primary goal of the agency.

National research, statewide input, and case law are among the resources considered when developing or revising the Standards. The jail standards process reviews and amends minimum standards for the purpose of building and maintaining safe, secure, and efficient jail operations. Proposed revisions to the Standards, after Commission approval, are published in the Texas Register for public comment and these comments are reviewed in order to ascertain whether revision would be appropriate. The final version, whether altered from the original or not, is again presented to the Commission for approval and again published in the Texas Register.

In addition to the appropriated funds, the agency charges inspection fees in order to cover the cost of inspecting facilities housing 30% or more non-Texas sentenced inmates or for conducting a re-inspection if the areas of non-compliance have not been corrected. These inspection fees combined with appropriated receipts from the sale of manuals account for 2% of the agency's budget.

In order to meet agency objectives, each strategy is allocated a specific number of full-time equivalent positions.

A. Inspection & Enforcement

(6 full-time positions) Inspection activities consist of fair and impartial monitoring and enforcing compliance of adopted rules and procedures. This objective includes development and implementation of a uniform inspection process. Uniform inspection reports and procedures for inspecting jail facilities are developed under the provision of Chapters 351 and 361 of the Local Government Code and Chapter 511 of the Government Code.

At least once each fiscal year, each facility that is under the Commission's authority is inspected in order to determine compliance with minimum jail standards. Each of these inspections reviews security, control, general conditions, and takes into account not only the operations of the facility, but the physical plant aspects as well. Special inspections may be conducted on facilities that have either been identified as high-risk or found to be in non-compliance. These unannounced inspections may also be performed when county officials indicate that the non-compliant items have been corrected, in which case the inspector must personally examine the areas that required correction. This is especially critical when the issues involve safety and/or security issues.

Following the Executive Director's review of the inspector's report, a certificate of compliance is sent to facilities found to be in compliance. If deficiencies were noted, however, a notice of non-compliance is issued, which specifies the Standards with which the facility has failed to comply and includes detailed information as to specific steps that must be taken to correct the deficiencies.

B. Construction Plan Review

(2 full-time positions) The construction facility planning staff provides consultation and technical assistance to local governments for jail construction that meets Standards. There is extensive consultation and interaction with state and local officials, design professionals and consultants. Plan documents are reviewed at three phases: schematic design, design development, and construction documents. At each phase, items requiring resolution are noted and satisfied prior to proceeding to the next phase. This process assists in ensuring that counties understand jail requirements, and also helps to provide more efficient, effective and economic jails that comply with Minimum Standards. On-site consultations are desirable, when possible, and are often a more productive method of consultation with designers, architects, construction contractors, sheriffs and other county officials, once construction has begun. The facility planning staff also conducts facility needs analyses at a county's request, using population projections and other pertinent data to provide assistance to counties in determining their future incarceration needs.

C. Management Consultation

(3 full-time positions) Commission staff also provides needed jail management training and consultation to counties by working with county representatives in our Austin office, on the phone, through written correspondence, regional training classes, and onsite visits. Technical assistance on matters such as structural issues, life safety, and overall jail operation is provided on an on-going basis. Counties also receive assistance with analyses of jail staffing needs to assist counties in operating safe and secure facilities, and in developing and implementing operational plans that meet Minimum Standards. Operational plans include procedures for classification of inmates, health services, discipline and grievance, inmate services and activities, and seven additional areas. This strategy is very important, in that it is focused on assisting counties to achieve and maintain compliance with Standards, transmitting to county jails the knowledge and tools required to run a safe and secure jail – a facility that is less likely to be a liability to the county.

D. Auditing Population & Costs

(1 full-time position) This strategy requires the collecting, analyzing and disseminating of data concerning inmate populations, felony backlog, immigration, licensed jailer turnover and jail operational costs. Counties are assisted in completing their jail population reports, and technical assistance is provided. Statistical data is collected, analyzed and provided to agencies to assist in planning and predicting trends in incarceration at the state and local level. We also receive audits of the commissary and general operations of the county jails. These audits are analyzed by Commission staff to assess jail program costs and to develop Average Daily Cost estimates – information that is often requested by other state agencies and counties, as well as members of the Legislature.

E. Indirect Administration

(4 full-time positions) Indirect administration strategy is to account for functions such as finance, human resources and IT that had previously been allocated among the five existing strategies. This allows for a more accurate representation of the funds and resources utilized not only for each of the five primary strategies, but also allow the agency to properly budget and plan for activities not directly tied to a particular strategy, such as IT needs, which would support employees assigned to several different strategies.

F. Juvenile Justice Survey

The responsibility for administering the juvenile justice survey has been transferred to the Governor's office.

IV. 2014 Operational Performance in Review

A. Changes to Standards

In 2014, the Commission adopted two changes to standards. The two changes to standards included amending 277.6 and 283.1.

B. Jail Inspections

During Calendar Year 2014, 243 annual jail inspections were conducted. Legislation has exempted certain private jails from annual inspection since September 1, 2003, and this number may fluctuate during the course of any given year as conditions warrant. Staff also completed 43 special inspections on high-risk and/or non-compliant jails during 2014. Out of the combined 286 inspections, 286 were unannounced, representing 100% of all inspections.

10 occupancy inspections on completed construction projects were conducted during 2014.

1. Compliant Counties

At the beginning of 2014, 234 jails (95.5%) complied with Minimum Jail Standards. As of December 31, 2014, 238 jails were certified, comprising 97% of the county and private facilities under our regulatory review. The Glasscock County Jail fell out of the Commission's purview during 2014 due to closure by the Glasscock Co. Commissioner's Court and LaSalle (P) was added to the inspection process.

2. Noncompliant Counties

On December 31, 2014, 7 jails were in a status of noncompliance, representing 2.8 % of the facilities on the inspection list. Notices of non-compliance are issued in three categories: Life Safety, Management, and Structural. In most instances, the counties receiving the notices have taken positive and responsible action toward eliminating cited deficiencies to meet the requirements of state law. Counties, which were not believed to be acting expeditiously to resolve deficiencies, were requested to appear before the Commission to address the corrective action necessary in order to prevent remedial action by the Commission. These meetings resulted in firm commitments aimed at eliminating the deficiencies from the counties concerned. Commission staff conducts monthly risk assessment reviews of noncompliant counties to assess the progress and status of these facilities as they move toward compliance with Minimum Jail Standards.

3. Closed Jails

Presently, 19 counties have closed jails. The following counties opted to board their few inmates in an adjacent county rather than maintaining their own facilities:

Glasscock	Cottle	Jeff Davis	McMullen
-----------	--------	------------	----------

Borden	Floyd	Kenedy	Motley
Briscoe	Foard	Kent	Throckmorton
Coke	Hartley	King	Sterling
Concho	Irion	Loving	

C. Construction Plan Review

27 construction/renovation documents were reviewed in 2014. Several counties are embarking upon renovations of ageing facilities, while others are planning new facilities to replace well-worn facilities or to add additional beds to meet local needs.

1. Construction Completed

Four Counties opened a new facility during the year. These projects represented a total of 321 beds.

Wheeler County Jail*	48 Beds
Walker County Jail*	298 beds
Smith County Jail-Phase II	5 beds
Caldwell County Justice Center	Courts

*Indicates the county closed an existing facility after completion of a new jail.

2. Major Renovations/Additions Completed

Three counties completed major renovations or additions during the year, adding zero beds. The counties were:

Guadalupe County (2 nd Flour Court)	0 Beds
Montgomery County Jail Addition	0 Beds
Hays County Jail (open Seating)	0 Beds

All construction and renovation/additions projects totaled 321 beds.

3. Jails under Construction or Planning

At the end of 2014, 21 counties were involved in planning or construction projects. These projects are expected to result in an additional 2586 beds in 2015. This figure is an estimate based on projects identified on January 1, 2015 and scheduled for completion by December 31, 2015; other projects identified during the year may cause this number to be adjusted further. In addition to plan reviews, the Planning Department completed seven Facility Needs Analyses, which assisted counties in determining their future jail needs.

D. Management Consultation

Technical assistance on jail matters such as alternative programs, population control, structural issues, life safety, and overall operations was provided to county officials throughout the year. Although telephone calls are not routinely logged, it is estimated that several thousand telephone calls were received during the year for technical assistance regarding jail management and operations. In addition, four in-house management consultations were conducted at the Austin office in 2014. The Commission also conducted 287 management consultations on-site with County Judges, Commissioner's Courts, and Sheriffs concerning the most economical and feasible way to achieve compliance with state law, and in some cases, federal court orders. Finally, six counties received assistance with analyses of jail staffing needs, and 1,507 operational plans were reviewed in 2014.

The Commission continued the program of technical assistance to jails on management related issues through regional jail management workshops during the calendar year. The workshops were developed under the direction of the Commission's Education Committee to provide training and credits afforded by the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement.

Municipalities continued to request information and assistance on jail construction or renovation. While municipal jails other than those privately operated under authority of Chapter 351, Local Government Code, are not required to conform to Texas Minimum Jail Standards, municipalities continue to show confidence in the Commission to provide them unbiased information and guidance upon which to base decisions concerning construction or operation.

E. Auditing

1. Population

On January 1, 2014, jails were operating at 66.99% of capacity with a population of 63,989 and a capacity of 95,514. During 2014, the population increased to 64, 587 on December 1, 2014 with a capacity decrease to 95,309 beds. On December 1, 2014, all Texas jails were collectively operating at 67.77 % of capacity.

Texas counties continue to house out-of-state inmates. On December 1, 2014, five local facilities were housing 104 inmates for New Mexico and Arkansas.

The number of federal inmates held by facilities under the Commission's purview decreased from 6,720 on January 1, 2014 to 5,882 on December 1, 2014. The local population increased from 55,567 on January 1, 2014 to 57,093 on December 1, 2014. This represents an increase of 2.56%. Additionally, in 2014 jails continued housing convicted state inmates through contract with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Two facilities housed a total of 43 state inmates on December 1, 2014.

			• •			
	12/2009	12/2010	12/2011	12/2012	12/2013	12/2014
Pretrial Felons	36.19%	37.47%	39.10%	39.36%	38.70%	41.71%
Convicted Felons	8.14%	8.75%	9.55%	7.42%	8.88%	8.71%
Convicted Felons (Co. jail)	2.12%	1.82%	1.66%	1.89%	1.70%	1.47%
Blue Warrant	3.51%	3.35%	3.46%	2.57%	3.41%	2.5%
Parole Viol. (New charge)	3.90%	4.21%	4.08%	3.81%	4.28%	3.97%
Pretrial Misdemeanants	10.08%	9.02%	9.40%	9.93%	9.31%	9.70%
Convicted Misdemeanants	6.33%	5.614%	5.51%	5.73%	4.60%	3.74%
Bench Warrant	1.45%	1.55%	1.70%	1.47%	1.74%	1.77%
Federal ²	11.43%	13.71%	9.87%	10.56%	10.10%	9.10%
Pretrial SJF	7.48%	6.83%	6.97%	8.30%	8.42%	9.14%
Convicted SJF (Co. jail)	2.54%	1.43%	1.10%	1.26%	0.90%	1.09%
Convicted SJF (State jail)	2.01%	2.28%	2.42%	2.20%	2.13%	2.07%

County Jail Population by Offense Type¹

¹This representation does not include all offense categories so totals may not equal 100%

²This only includes those federal inmates in facilities under purview of the Commission on Jail Standards. Under 511.0094 Government Code, facilities housing only federal inmates do not fall under Commission purview.



2. Immigration

Senate Bill (SB) 1698, 82^{nd} Legislature, requires the reporting of inmates with an immigration detainer and the associated costs. In 2014, the total number of inmates with an immigration detainer in Texas county jails was 61,530 for 1,273,427 days. The collective cost for the entire state was \$77,300,041.95. The monthly average for 2014 was 5,128 inmates for 106,119 days at a cost of \$ 64,416.71.

3. Licensed Jailer Turnover

Senate Bill (SB) 1687, 82nd Legislature, requires county jails to report the number of licensed jailers that leave county jail employment. During 2014, county jails reported an annual average turnover rate of 36.31%. The turnover rate ranged from 0%-170% during 2014.

F Additional Services

1. Research

The primary function of the research staff in 2014 was to research relevant issues and questions for Texas sheriffs and jail administration regarding recent changes to standards, legislation, statutes, AG opinions, court cases, and best practices. In addition to handling numerous technical assistance and information requests, research staff also provided training on legislative matters and legal developments to county officials, jail administrators, and others in local government.

Research staff actively participated in meetings and presentations with other professionals and academics to address the challenges of incarcerated individuals with mental health issues. Staff represented the Commission on Jail Standards at advisory meetings of the Texas Correctional Office on Offenders with Medical or Mental Impairments (TCOOMMI) and the Texas Coordinating Council for Veterans Services (TCCVS).

2. Inmate Complaints

The Commission received 1,694 written requests for inmate assistance or grievance investigation in 2014, with 602 requiring a written response by county officials, and possible action by Commission staff. Consistent with previous years, the category most frequently cited in grievances requiring a formal reply was medical services. For 2014, Medical Services constituted 56% of these grievances.

The following chart illustrates the frequencies (from highest to lowest) of complaints received for 17 categories (Note: combined numbers exceed total number of complaints requiring a formal reply due to letters containing more than one complaint category). In cases where more than one complaint category is addressed in a letter, the three most significant complaints are reported in their respective categories.

Inmate Complaints by Category for 2014

Medical Services	365	Discipline	10
Other/Misc.	190	Services	9
Sanitation	62	Classification	7
Officer Actions	60	Property	5
Food Service	50	Supervision	4
Mail Service	35	Use of Force/Taser	4
Personal Hygiene	17	Security	3
Recreation	16	Life Safety	2
Legal Access	13	Education	0



1,092 complaint letters required no response, were beyond the purview of the Commission, or were returned to the sender with instructions to utilize the facility's established jail grievance system. Inquiry into the remainder of the requests either alleviated conditions in need of correction or established the

lack of truth in the allegations, and thus aided in eliminating frivolous litigation. Occasionally, areas of concern were addressed with the individual sheriffs involved and recommendations were made to preclude future allegations.

3. Variances

One new variance and two extensions of variances previously granted were approved by the nine-member Commission. One variance application was denied. Each request was individually reviewed and acted upon by the Commission during the year's four regular meetings. (The Commission may grant reasonable variances, except that no variance may be granted to permit unhealthy, unsanitary or unsafe conditions).

V. 2014 Administrative Activity in Review

A. Staff Changes

In 2014, three new hires joined the Commission.

B. Staff Turnover

In 2014, three staff members left the agency.

C. Training Initiatives

2014 was another successful year for jail training efforts at the Commission. The staff has continued to select timely topics and develop a solid curriculum for the training programs developed in 2001, as evidenced by the positive response all training sessions have received.

The Practitioners' Series Training called the 'Current Trends and High-Impact Areas of Jail Operations' was conducted at 11 regional sites during September, October and November. Practitioners who are widely regarded as experts in their field of jail management served as instructors for this training alongside Commission staff, using materials prepared by the agency. This program not only educates those attending the training, but also develops the presentation and leadership skills of the instructors themselves. In 2014, there were 410 participants at the 11 training sessions.

Since creating and maintaining a responsible classification system remains an essential part of county jail management, Objective Jail Classification training by Commission staff continues to be offered to the counties, both on-site and at headquarters. In addition, Commission staff has continued its training for Population and Paper Ready Reports and 'What to Expect During Inspection' for any county that requests assistance, both on-site and at headquarters.

In 2014, the Commission provided 19 classes to 416 participants in Classification training, 1 class to 2 participants in Population reporting, and 2 classes to 80 participants in What to Expect During Inspection training.

Finally, Commission staff introduced a new training program entitled "Assessing for Suicide, Medical and Mental Impairments". The course was created with multiple state agencies, including the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement, Texas Correctional Office on Offenders with Medical and Mental Impairments, and other state

agencies. Unique to this course is the inclusion of staff from local mental health authorities in teaching the course. In 2014, the course provided training to 744 participants in 22 classes.

In addition to the training classes, the Commission conducted training sessions at the annual Texas Jail Association (TJA) Conference in Austin, Texas and at the Sheriff's Association Conference in Corpus Christi. In addition, "Jailpardy!!" game was conducted at the TJA Conference, as well. All presentations received positive evaluations from the attendees and participants alike.

D. Legislative Actions

The 83nd Legislature was convened in 2013; however, TCJS did not receive any legislative mandates from the Legislature. The Commission continued to provide assistance and information to county officials with legislation that had impact on county jail operations.

E. Attorney General Action

In 2014, the Commission submitted six requests for rulings to the Office of the Attorney General to have certain records excepted from public disclosure under the Public Information Act. No other Attorney General activity occurred.

VI. Summary & Forecast

The twelve months covered in this annual report represent the end of what could be considered the transition period for the agency of providing increased technical assistance. Knowing what to expect lessens the apprehension and provides a proper baseline form that everyone knows to start. The expanded emphasis on technical assistance has continued to produce the results desired and at the end of the inspection cycle in August, the agency had the fewest number of jails in non-compliance in its history. We have continued to receive positive feedback from our stakeholders regarding this approach and believe that it demonstrates our commitment to being viewed as problem solvers, as well as regulators. The emphasis on increased technical assistance does not mean we no longer decertify jails as we issued 35 notices of non-compliance in 2014. But with an emphasis on correcting the issues as quickly as possible and placing the jails back into compliance, the number remains low at any one time.

Much of the state continues to experience lower inmate populations than in previous years. Combined with the fact that most counties increased their inmate capacity the previous decade, county officials have been able to focus on actual operations instead of constantly operating in crisis mode. This has also allowed counties to maintain preventive maintenance programs that ensure the long-term viability of their jails' physical plant.

Another factor impacting inmate populations is the increased utilization of programs that focus on alternatives to incarceration. While there are few pre-jail diversion programs, several jurisdictions have implemented specialty courts and other programs that assist in addressing the pre-trial segment of the inmate population. The agency fully supports these efforts and will continue to encourage county officials to explore either expanding or implementing them as they have proven to provide a cost effective and safe way to lower inmate populations.

The small but dedicated TCJS staff will continue to ensure through our documented outcomes that the Texas Commission on Jail Standards should remain an independent agency and funded appropriately for continued success. Employee retention and adequate compensation continue to be an issue though that is difficult to achieve at times. Our staff is well trained, and their expertise is highly sought by counties and private consultants alike. The cost of living in Austin is a barrier to recruiting qualified replacements, and the agency believes long term success and strategic planning hinges on its ability to address this problem. This issue is a priority as we move into the legislative session, and we will strive to provide the proper documentation and reasoning to policymakers for the continued success of the agency and its mission.