ANNUAL REPORT TO THE GOVERNOR

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR AND SPEAKER OF

THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF TEXAS

JANUARY 31, 1989

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE LIBRARY P.O. BOX 12488 - CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

MAY 2 5 1993

# **TEXAS COMMISSION ON JAIL STANDARDS**



bert J. Uhr, Chairman, New Braunfels eriff John J. Klevenhagen, Vice-Chairman, Houston aries R. Hurst, M.D., Tyler

Sheriff Joe A. Corley, Conroe Rolando V. del Carmen, Huntsville Ivy T. "Tex" Corley, Amarillo

Judge Roy E. English, Fort Worth Roy L. Orr, De Soto

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Jack E. Crump

January 31, 1989

he Honorable William Clements, Governor

he Honorable William Hobby, Lieutenant Governor

he Honorable Gib Lewis, Speaker of the House of Representatives

#### ientlemen:

'his is the 12th annual report to you from the Chairman of the Texas Commission on Jail Standards as required by Sec. 10, Art. 5115.1, Vernon's Revised Civil Statutes.

The report will provide background information concerning the creation of the Commission, the duties of the Commission intended by the Legislature, and its accomplishments and activities during 1988.

Jail capacity increased this year, however jail population increased significantly more. Texas counties are building and renovating jail facilities attempting to provide adequate space and required classification capability. Further counties are striving to operate jails in accordance with minimum jail standards.

he chairman, commissioners and staff are available at any time to discuss the work of the Jail Standards Commission with you or your staff.

incerely,

ack E. Crump

xecutive Director

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
I.	Creation of the Commission	1
II.	Duties of the Commission	1
III	. Early Accomplishments of the Commission	2
	<ul> <li>A. Drafting of Minimum Standards</li> <li>B. Staffing</li> <li>C. Assistance to County Officials</li> <li>D. Plan Reviews</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2
IV.	1988 Events	3
	<ul> <li>A. Jail Inspections</li> <li>B. Assistance to Counties</li> <li>C. Investigation and Resolution of Request for Inmate Assistance</li> </ul>	3 3 4
	D. Construction Plan Reviews E. Determination of Reasonable Variance Requests F. Enforcement Proceedings G. Counties in Compliance H. Jail Closings I. New Jails Opened J. Major Renovations Completed K. Jails - Under Planning or Into Construction	4 5 5 7 8 8
٧.	Other 1988 Events	9
	A. Growth in Capacity and Population B. Modular Construction C. Interest in Privatization D. Development of Conditional Certification E. Criminal Justice Summitt F. Training G. Double Bunking H. Personnel Changes	9 10 10 10 11 11 12
I.	Conclusion	13

## TEXAS COMMISSION ON JAIL STANDARDS

## ANNUAL REPORT TO THE GOVERNOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR AND SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF TEXAS

### JANUARY 31, 1989

#### INTRODUCTION

This report is made pursuant to Texas Revised Civil Statutes, annotated, Article 5115.1 (1975).

This report covers activities of calendar year 1988.

## CREATION OF THE COMMISSION

The Commission was created by act of the 64th Legislature (1975) in recognition of the necessity for the State of Texas to regulate its counties' jails and thus prevent federal court intrusion into state and local matters. The 64th Legislature was encouraged in this creation by the organizations listed in Appendix I.

## DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION

Article 5115.1 Vernon's Annotated Texas Statutes and Vernon's Texas Codes Annotated, Section 351.002 requires the Texas Commission on Jail Standards to:

- (1) Promulgate reasonable rules establishing minimum standards for:
  - (a) Construction, equipment, maintenance and operation of jails
  - (b) custody, care and treatment of prisoners
  - (c) number of jail supervisory personnel
  - (d) programs and services for prisoners
- (2) revise, amend or change rules
- (3) provide consultation and technical assistance on jail matters
- (4) review and comment on plans for jail construction or renovation
- (5) inspect each jail at least annually
- (6) determine compliance annually for each jail inspected

#### EARLY ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE COMMISSION

## A. Drafting of Minimum Standards

The nine member commission, appointed on October 30, 1975, working as a full group and in subcommittees met thirty-six times in public meetings over a period of 400 days throughout the state. Standards were drafted and published for public comment. The comments were heard and were reviewed and minimal standards in final form were redrafted and republished. The final standards incorporated suggestions from over 1,000 expert witnesses and public attendees. The Texas Minimum Jail Standards were published in the Texas register (Volume I, Number 97, December 17, 1976). The Standards became effective December 23, 1976.

## B. <u>Staffing</u>

The hiring of a staff commenced in July, 1976, and that task was completed by January 17, 1977. A period of training ensued. Inspections commenced February 7, 1977, and have since continued on a regular annual basis.

## C. Assistance to County Officials

Consultation and technical assistance to county authorities on jail matters was begun in September, 1976. Throughout the remainder of 1976, assistance and consultation was rendered on 34 occasions to 26 counties. Because jail facilities are as complex as hospitals (with administrative, medical, laundry and supervisory functions as well as sophisticated equipment and safety systems) technical assistance has continued to be an important ongoing activity, demanding significant effort, and accounting for a large portion of the agency's resources.

## D. <u>Plan Reviews</u>

Review of construction and renovation plans of county jail facilities

beg: cou

auti

serv

the

econ

Stat

some i.e.

Monto these

plan:

count

more count

take

В.

1988

(stru

offic

their

condu

began in 1976 as well. Comments and suggestions had been furnished to 21 counties and their architectural agents by December 20, 1976. This service has become one of the most extensive and best received by county authorities and their architects. Counties have realized significant economic benefit from the suggestions and coordinated planning efforts of the Commission, other appropriate state agencies, (State Fire Marshal; State Purchasing and General Services, Historical Commission) architects, county commissioners and sheriffs.

#### 1988 EVENTS

## A. <u>Jail Inspections</u>

During the year, 281 jail inspections were conducted. As in 1987, some jails were inspected more than once, at the request of the county, i.e. Anderson, Bexar, Bosque, Cooke, Dallas, Guadalupe, Hays, Lubbock, Montgomery, Navarro, Nueces, Tarrant, Titus, Wood and Zavala. Some of these counties requested additional inspections to ensure construction plans previously approved were being adhered to by contractors. Other counties experiencing difficulty in achieving compliance were inspected more frequently to encourage their effort to achieve compliance. Other counties requested inspections or assistance to review corrective action taken in an effort to achieve compliance with standards.

## B. <u>Assistance to Counties</u>

1

d

n

g

S

Technical assistance provided to counties in jail operations during 1988 was limited due to funding. Technical assistance in jail matters (structure, life safety, operations) however was provided to county officials on 113 occasions. On most occasions, the county authorities or their agents visited the Austin office where the discussions were conducted. Two hundred and sixty eight (268) requests for technical

assistance were handled by telephone. While this is one of the best received commission programs, it was severly restricted as budget cuts reduced this program by eliminating travel funds associated with the position. Three hundred and thirteen (313) consultations and discussions, up 31 from last year, were also conducted with county judges, commissioners court and sheriffs concerning the most economical and feasible way to achieve compliance with the state law and in some instances existent federal court orders.

Municipalities continued to request information and assistance on jail construction or renovation. While municipal jails are not required to conform with jail standards, these municipalities all stated confidence in the Commission to provide them unbiased information and guidance upon which to base decisions concerning construction or operations.

## C. <u>Investigation and Resolution of Requests for Inmate Assistance</u>

The Commission received 122 requests, down 6 from last year, for inmate assistance in 1988. Some requests were redundant or ones over which the Jail Commission has no purview. These were referred to an appropriate agency for response. Additionally, some requests were referred back to the originator with instructions to use the grievance procedures which the jail had established to address such matters. Inquiry into the remainder of the requests either alleviated conditions in need of correction or established the fallaciousness of the allegation and aided in eliminating frivolous litigation.

## D. <u>Construction Plan Review</u>

Construction/renovation plans for counties were reviewed in 133 instances. This represents an increase of 62 from the previous year. Approximately 1330 staff hours were devoted to this task, up from 720

staf at 1

Each by th reque

year,
recei
elimi
Count
exped
commi
count
which

Baylor
Clay (
Comanc
Lamar
Maveri
Young

ρ jail s

E

staff hours the previous year. (Note: Each project is reviewed formally at least twice and most three times).

## E. <u>Determination of Reasonable Variance Requests</u>

Requests for variances were received and processed from 25 counties. Each of the 25 separate requests were individually reviewed and acted upon by the Commissioners during the year's six meetings. Twenty three of the requests were granted.

## F. <u>Enforcement Proceedings</u>

Notices of Non-Compliance were sent to 71 counties, down 32 from last year, whose jails were not in compliance. In most instances, the counties receiving the notices have taken positive and responsible action toward eliminating cited deficiencies to meet the requirements of state law. Counties which were not, in the opinion of the Commission, acting expeditiously to resolve deficiencies, were requested to attend public commission meetings. These meetings resulted in firm commitments from the county concerned, or a Remedial Order being issued by the Commission, which eliminated the deficiencies.

Remedial Orders, 6 in all, 4 less than last year, were issued to:

Baylor County - closed

:e

s.

ns

on

33

ir.

20

Clay County - limiting population

Comanche County - closed

Lamar County - limiting population

Maverick County - closed

Young County - limiting population

## G. Counties in Compliance

At the beginning of 1988, 168 jails were in compliance with minimum jail standards. Twenty seven additional counties were certified during

the year. However due to deficiencies a total of 20 jails lost certification. As of December 31, 1988, 176 jails remained certified.

## JAILS IN COMPLIANCE

Winklon	Sabine San Augustine San Jacinto San Patricio San Saba Schleicher Scurry Shackelford Shelby Sherman Somervell Starr Sterling Stonewall Sutton Terrell Tom Green Trinity Tyler Upshur Upton Val Verde Van Zandt Walker Waller Ward Washington Wharton	San Saba Shelby Sterling Tom Green Upton Waller	Schleicher Sherman Stonewall Trinity Val Verde Ward	Scurry Somervell Sutton Tyler Van Zandt Washington	Shackelford Starr Terrell Upshur Walker Wharton
Lapata Lapata	Wheeler Wichita Wilbarger Wilson Winkler Wise Yoakum Zapata		Wichita	Wilbarger	Wilson

Twenty jails in compliance during 1988, 5 less than last year, lost certification because of deficiencies found.

Actic

the d

jails

small

conti

Camp - Classification

Cochran - Staffing

Deaf Smith - Structural and Staffing

DeWitt - Classification and Structural

Ector - Staffing

Hamilton - Classification and Staffing

Hardin - Classification and Staffing

Henderson - Staffing

Hidalgo - Structural

Jackson - Staffing

Jefferson - Classification

Kendall - Classification and Structural

Lynn - Communication

Reeves - Classification

Smith - Classification

Swisher - Classification

Taylor - Staffing

Uvalde - Communication

Victoria - Classification

Zavala - Life Safety and Lighting

Action is being taken by all of the above twenty (20) counties to correct the deficiencies and achieve compliance.

## H. <u>Jail Closings</u>

During 1988, 12 counties had closed jails. In most instances, these lails were marginally operational. Average daily populations were very mall. These counties determined that it was economically burdensome to ontinue jail operations and opted to board their few prisoners in an

adjacent county at a lower cost than maintaining their own facilities. One jail, Edwards County, was closed by Remedial Order in 1985 and remains closed but is building a new facility. Comanche County Jail was closed by Remedial Order in 1988. A new facility is under construction and should be completed in 1989. It is interesting to note however that several of these counties have approached the Commission concerning the re-opening of their jail. Discussions are continuing. Those counties using the jails of adjoining counties are:

Borden	Edwards	King	
Briscoe	Irion	McMullen	
Comanche	Jeff Davis	Motley	
Concho	Kent	Throckmorton	

## I. NEW JAILS OPENED

Twenty counties, five more than last year, opened new jails for operation during the year.

Anderson	Bexar	Dallas	Frio
Guadalupe	Hays	Montgomery	Navarro
Nueces	Polk	Potter	Robertson
Rockwall	Rusk	Tarrant	Travis
Tyler	Wise	Wood	Zavala

## J. MAJOR RENOVATIONS COMPLETED.

Thirteen counties, six more than last year, completed major renovation during the year.

Andrews Cooke Floyd Milam Wichita	Bosque Crane Kinney Midland	Collin Delta Lubbock Titus
---	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------

## K. JAILS UNDER PLANNING OR INTO CONSTRUCTION

Fifty (50) counties commenced planning new jails or renovation during the year, 2 more than last year. Thirty-two (32) counties entered into construction during the year, six less than last year.

an .

cap res

inc

the

fro

the

## <u>Planning</u>

•			
Aransas (N)* Brazos (N)* Cass (N) Coryell (N)* Ector Hamilton (N) Hidalgo (N) Jasper (R)* Lamar (R) Llano * Randall (N) Van Zandt (N) Yoakum (R)*	Archer Brewster * Castro (N) Dallas (N)* Franklin (N)* Harris (N) Hill (R)* Karnes (R)* Lampasas (R)* Nueces (R) Swisher (R) Young (R)	Angelina * Burleson (R) Clay (R) Dimmit (N)* Goliad (N) Harrison Houston (R)* Kerr (N)* LaSalle (N)* Orange (R)* San Patricio(R)* Washington (N)*	Bandera Camp (R) Collin (N) Eastland (R)* Hale (R) Henderson (N) Hudspeth (N) Kleberg (N) Leon (N)* Palo Pinto (R)* Uvalde Wilbarger (N)*

## Construction

Atascosa (R)* Caldwell (N)* Edwards (N) Galveston (N) Jones (R)* Midland (N)* Nueces (R) Travis (N)	Bastrop (N) Cherokee (N) Ellis (N) Harris (R) Live Oak (R)* Montague (R) Parker (N) Willacy (N)	Bee (N) Comanche (N) Erath (N) Hutchinson (R) Lubbock (R) Moore (R)* Smith (N) Williamson (N)	Bexar (R) Dallas (R)* Freestone (R)* Johnson (N) Madison (R) Nacogdoches (N)* Tarrant (N) Zapata (R)*

- \* = Certified
- (N) = New Construction
- (R) = Renovation or Addition

## OTHER 1988 EVENTS

# A. Growth in Capacity and Population.

At year's end the county jail capacity was approximately 30,000 with an average daily population of 31,500. At the beginning of the year our capacity was 26,700 with an average daily population of 23,500. This has resulted in 3300 beds but 8000 more inmates. The number of beds has increased 12% but the population has increased by 34% which resulted in the use of all available space. Further, the incarceration rate went from 1.36/1000 to 1.83/1000.

## B. Modular Construction.

This form of construction was noted during the year. Proponents of he system indicate a saving in cost and time, i.e. Rockwall, Robertson,

and Edwards Counties. Additions to existing facilities were completed at Milam County, with planning at Comanche, Clay, and Freestone Counties.

#### C. <u>Interest in Privatization.</u>

This authorized form of financing and operation received a growing interest. Reeves County Detention Center, an existing structure that was financed through a lease purchase arrangement transferred management to Corrections Corporation of America. The existing Bexar County Detention Center was closed when the new detention center was completed. Major renovation occurred with operation being assumed by Wackenhut, Inc. and inmates being primarily parole violators transferred from overpopulated facilities. Zavala County completed a 226 capacity facility that is privately operated. Other proposals were reviewed; however none come to fruition in 1988. Some examples are Red River and Hill counties.

## D. <u>Development of Conditional Certification</u>.

Due to the current population crisis and the unresolved prison overcrowding problem the Commission voted to accept and implement the Conditional Certification concept. Conditional Certification may be awarded a county when the facility is in compliance with all requirements but is overpopulated due to an inability to move convicted felons into the Texas Department of Corrections. Presently three counties have received Conditional Certification; Dallas, Houston and Wharton Counties. All three jails are clean and well managed but are overcrowded due to the backlog of convicted felons awaiting transfer to TDC.

## E. <u>Criminal Justice Summit.</u>

On January 7, 1988 a Criminal Justice Summit was hosted by the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice to discuss the prison overcrowding problem. Present were members from Texas Department of Corrections, Board

of P

Jude deci

to ;

reco

ass:

inca

to ir

Direc

Direc

Exect

by E>

Direc

atter

by Ex

Confe

by Ex

of Pardons and Paroles, and Texas Commission on Jail Standards. County Judges from the 24 most populated counties were also invited. No decisions were made at this meeting. In February another meeting was held to address the jail and prison problems. At this meeting it was recommended that Texas Commission on Jail Standards develop a technical assistance program to help counties establish alternatives to incarceration to reduce jail population, however no monies are available to implement this recommendation.

## F. <u>Training</u>.

January 1988 - ACA Midwinter Conference, attended by Executive Director - Phoenix, Arizona.

March 1988 - How to Build a Jail & Survive, attended by Executive Director - Dallas, Texas.

March 1988 - Annual Judges and Commissioners Conference, attended by Executive Director - College Station, Texas.

March 1988 - West Texas Judges and Commissioners Conference, attended by Executive Director - El Paso, Texas.

May 1988 - Annual Jail Association Conference, attended by Executive Director - Los Angeles, California.

July 1988 - North and East Texas Judges and Commissioners Conference, attended by Executive Director - Denton, Texas.

July 1988 - South Texas Judges & Commissioners Conference, attended by Executive Director - South Padre Island, Texas.

July 25 - 27, 1988 - Sheriff's Association of Texas, 110th Annual Conference attended by Executive Director and staff - Lubbock, Texas.

August 1988 - American Correctional Association Conference, attended by Executive Director - Denver. Colorado.

August 9 - 10, 1988 - National Institute of Corrections, Jail

Inspector Training Development, attended by Planner - Boulder, Colorado.

September 16, 1988 - Texas Society of Architects, Nuts & Bolts II, attended by Executive Director and Planner - Austin, Texas.

October 1988 - Judges and Commissioners Conference, attended by Executive Director and Supervising Inspector Joe Slater - Lubbock, Texas.

October 26 - 28, 1988 - 1988 Annual Conference of Jail Administration attended by Executive Director and Supervising Inspectors - Huntsville, Texas.

December 1, 1988 - National Career Writing Skills Workshop, attended by Administrative Secretary - Austin, Texas.

December 5 - 6, 1988 - National Institute of Corrections Jail Inspector Training, attended by Executive Director - San Antonio, Texas.

December 7, 1988 - Texas Notary Law and Procedure Seminar, attended by Secretary III - Austin, Texas.

## G. Double-Bunking.

Due to the current population crisis two counties requested that they be allowed to install additional bunks in their jail facilities so inmates would not have to sleep on the floor. Harris County was granted a variance to install 600 metal double bunks in the large dormitory cellblocks of the Harris County Central Jail. Travis County requested and was granted permission to double bunk all single cells in the Travis County Jail. In both instances the bunks are to be removed when additional construction is completed and the jail population crisis is over.

## H. <u>Personnel Change</u>.

The Texas Commission on Jail Standards experienced major changes in it's managerial staff in 1988. Mr. Robert O. Viterna, Executive Director

former
as the
and se
necess
Superv
approv
occurr
createc
patienc
previou

availal capacit althoug actual getting at year expandi

Al constru be insu In succ beds sh

Co alterna accepta formerly Planner for the Texas Commission on Jail Standards was appointed as the Executive Director and currently fills that position. Recruitment and selection of a suitable planner required three months which necessitated additional efforts by the Director and administration staff. Supervising Inspector Joseph Slater requested retirement which was approved for January 1989. Administrative personnel changes have also occurred. This in effect, has resulted in a 38% change in personnel and reated an undue burden on an already taxed staff, and will require time, atience, and training to retain the historical knowledge and competence reviously experienced by the Texas Commission on Jail Standards.

### CONCLUSION

1988 began with hope and promise. The state ADP was 23,500 and bunk vailability was 26,700. Although this represented 110% of functional apacity, projects nearing completion would bring new bunks on line. And Ithough the population exceeded functional capacity it did not yet exceed ctual capacity. It appeared that at the time county jails were if not etting ahead were staying even with the jail population growth. However, t year's end the jails were at 132% of functional capacity and still xpanding.

Although additional construction projects are in planning or instruction that may increase bunk availability by 1400 in 1989 this will insufficient to close the gap between prisoners and bunk availability. I succeeding years building will increase bunk space and by 1992 45,000 ds should be available.

Counties are currently being encouraged to practice a host of ternatives to incarceration available to them. The climate for ceptance of innovative, creative ways to solve the population problems in Texas has never been better. The Commissioners Courts and Sheriffs are anxious to avoid litigation caused by, or compounded by, jail overpopulation. The Texas Commission on Jail Standards continues to work closely, cooperatively, and patiently with county officials toward achieving full compliance in all counties of the State. Though it is evident we must build additional capacity for our jail and prison systems it has become more encumbent upon the leaders of our criminal justice industry to continue the quest for alternatives to incarceration and alternatives to crime.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Respectfully submitted,

R.J. "Bob" Uhr, Chairman

P.O. Box 310703

New Braunfels, Texas 78131-0703

512/629-1192

### APPENDIX I

# ORGANIZATIONS INSTRUMENTAL IN CREATING THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON JAIL STANDARDS

- 1. American Civil Liberties Union
- 2. Baptist General Convention of Texas
- 3. Citizens United to Rehabilitate Errants
- 4. Concerned Parents
- 5. League of Women Voters
- 6. Sheriffs Association of Texas
- 7. Social Action Diocese
- 8. State Bar of Texas
- 9. Texas Association of Counties
- 10. Texas Civil Liberties Union
- 11. Texas Commission on Humanities
- 12. Texas Junior Bar Association
- 13. Texas Library and Historical Commission
- 14. Texas Rural Legal Aid
- 15. Women in Action